



# **Non-examination Assessment Policy**

Last Review Date	October 2023
Cycle	Annually
Next Review date	October 2024

# **Contents**

What does this policy affect?	3
Purpose of the policy	3
What are non-examination assessments?	3
Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities	3
Task setting	4
Issuing of tasks	4
Task taking	5
Supervision	5
Advice and feedback	5
Resources	5
Word and time limits	6
Collaboration and group work	6
Authentication procedures	6
Presentation of work	7
Keeping materials secure	7
Task marking – externally assessed components	8
Conduct of externally assessed work	8
Submission of work	8
Task marking – internally assessed components	8
Marking and annotation	8
Internal standardisation	9
Submission of marks and work for moderation	9
Storage and retention of work after submission of marks	10
External moderation – the process	10
External moderation – feedback	10
Access arrangements	11
Special consideration and loss of work	11
Malpractice	11
Post-results services	12
Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England	13
Management of issues and notential risks associated with non-evamination assessments	15

# What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of GCE and GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment.

"The regulator's definition of an examination is very narrow. In effect, any type of assessment that is not 'externally set and taken by candidates at the same time under controlled conditions' is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA). 'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'. "

[JCQ publication <u>Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments</u>, <u>Foreword</u>]

This publication is further referred to in this policy as NEA

# **Purpose of the policy**

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- define staff roles and responsibilities with respect to non-examination assessments
- manage risks associated with non-examination assessments

The policy will need to cover all types of non-examination assessment.

[NEA 1]

#### What are non-examination assessments?

Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- task setting;
- task taking;
- task marking.

<u>NEA 1</u>

# Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities

#### The basic principles

### Head of centre

- Returns an online 'Head of Centre declaration' at the time of the National Centre Number Register annual update to confirm awareness of and that relevant centre staff are adhering to the latest version of NEA
- Ensures the centre's *non-examination assessment policy* is fit for purpose
- Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedures clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) and requesting a review of the centre's marking

#### **Senior leaders**

- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements) which comply with NEA and awarding body subject-specific instructions
- Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year

### Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for nonexamination assessments (including endorsements) are used by teachers and candidates
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

#### Subject head/lead

- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the non-examination assessment process
- Ensures <u>NEA</u> and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements)
- Works with the QA lead/Lead internal verifier to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers

# Subject teacher

- Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in <u>NEA</u>
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments, including any subjectspecific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body
- Ensures the exams officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry for the internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code for the qualification or is made as a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline for entries

#### **Exams officer**

• Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment

## Task setting

### Subject teacher

- Selects tasks to be undertaken where a number of comparable tasks are provided by the awarding body OR designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work.

## **Issuing of tasks**

- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates

- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times
- Ensures requirements for legacy specification tasks and new specification tasks are distinguished between

# Task taking

# **Supervision**

#### Subject teacher

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- Is confident where work may be completed outside of the centre without direct supervision, that the work produced is the candidate's own
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution
- Ensures candidates are aware of the current JCQ documents <u>Information for candidates non-examination assessments</u> and <u>Information for candidates Social Media</u>
- Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ documents Information for candidates
- Ensures candidates:
  - understand that information from all sources must be referenced
  - receive guidance on setting out references
  - are aware that they must not plagiarise other material

## **Advice and feedback**

#### Subject teacher

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- Will not provide candidates with model answers or outlines/headings specific to the task
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allows candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

#### Resources

## Subject teacher

 Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources including the internet and AI when planning and researching their tasks

- Refers to the JCQ document AI Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications
   (<a href="http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/malpractice">http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/malpractice</a>) as well as the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation published by the awarding bodies and the regulator
  - By referencing this document, makes candidates aware of the appropriate and inappropriate use of AI, the risks of using AI, and the possible consequences of using AI inappropriately in a qualification assessment
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to keep the work to be assessed, and any
  preparatory work, secure between any formally supervised sessions, including work that is stored
  electronically
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce improved notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

#### Word and time limits

## Subject teacher

 Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

# **Collaboration and group work**

#### Subject teacher

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually

## **Authentication procedures**

- Where required by the awarding body's specification:
  - o ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
  - o signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for requesting reviews of results has
  passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is
  later
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector (Electronic signatures are acceptable)
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in <u>NEA</u> and informs a member of the senior leadership team

• Understands that if, during the external moderation process, it is found that the work has not been properly authenticated, the awarding body will set the mark(s) awarded by the centre to zero

#### Presentation of work

#### Subject teacher

- Obtains informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents/carers if videos or photographs/images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution
- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in <u>NEA</u> unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work
- Ensures if candidates' work is to be submitted electronically, that it meets the awarding body's specified requirements

# Keeping materials secure

### Subject teacher

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in <u>NEA 4.8</u>
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until all possible post-results services have been exhausted
- If post-results services have not been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) after the deadline for requesting a review of results for the relevant series
- If post-results services have been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) once the review of results and any subsequent appeal has been completed
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line, on social media or through any other means (Reminds candidates of the contents of the JCQ document Information for candidates Social Media)
- Where work is stored electronically, liaises with the IT Manager to ensure the protection and backup of candidates' work and that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access to it between sessions

#### **IT Manager**

- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates'
  work where work is stored electronically
- Restricts access to this material and utilises appropriate security safeguards such as firewall protection and virus scanning software
- Employs an effective back-up strategy so that an up to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained
- Considers encrypting any sensitive digital media to ensure the security of the data stored within it
  and refers to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable

# Task marking – externally assessed components Conduct of externally assessed work

#### Subject teacher

- Liaises with the exams officer regarding the arrangements for any externally assessed components
  of a specification which must be conducted within a window of dates specified by the awarding
  body and according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

#### **Exams officer**

- Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where and if this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body and according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations

## **Submission of work**

#### Subject teacher

Provides the attendance register to a Visiting Examiner

#### **Exams officer**

- Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where the component may be assessed by a Visiting Examiner
- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly to show candidates who are present and any who may be absent
- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner, ensures the completed attendance register accompanies the work
- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for reviews of results for the exam series
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- Ensures that the package in which the work is despatched is robust and securely fastened
- Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

# Task marking – internally assessed components Marking and annotation

#### Head of centre

 Ensures where a teacher teaches his/her own child, a conflict of interest is declared to the awarding body and the marked work of the child submitted for moderation, whether it is part of the moderation sample or not

#### Subject head/lead

 Sets timescales for teachers to inform candidates of their centre-assessed marks that will allow sufficient time for a candidate to appeal an internal assessment decision/request a review of the centre's marking prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body external deadline

- Attends awarding body training as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marking process
- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- Ensures candidates are informed to the timescale set by the subject lead or as indicated in the
  centre's internal appeals procedure to enable an internal appeal/request for a review of marking to
  be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the
  awarding body

#### Internal standardisation

# Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence
- Supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. ECTs, supply staff etc.)
- Ensures accurate internal standardisation for example by:
  - o obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course
  - holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking
  - carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period
  - o after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments
  - making final adjustments to marks prior to submission retaining work and evidence of standardisation
- Retains evidence that internal standardisation has been carried out

### Subject teacher

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards
- Keeps candidates work secure until after the closing date for review of results for the series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

#### Submission of marks and work for moderation

- Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks awarded, to the external deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Ensures that where a candidate's work has been facilitated by a scribe or practical assistant, the relevant completed cover sheet is securely attached to the front of the work and sent to the moderator in addition to the sample requested

- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Ensures that for postal moderation:
  - work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
  - o moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
  - o proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- Provides the exams officer with any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

#### **Exams officer**

- Confirms with subject teachers that marks have been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Confirms with Subject teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates'
  work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subjectspecific information where this may be required
- Through the subject teacher, submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

# Storage and retention of work after submission of marks

## Subject teacher

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions for the required retention period
- In liaison with the IT Manager, takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- If retention is a problem because of the nature of the work, retains some form of evidence such as photos, audio or media recordings

#### **Exams officer**

 Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention

# **External moderation – the process**

#### Subject teacher

- Ensures that awarding body or its moderator receive the correct samples of candidates' work
- Where relevant, liaises with the awarding body/moderator where the moderator visits the centre to mark the sample of work
- Complies with any request from the moderator for remaining work or further evidence of the centre's marking

#### External moderation – feedback

#### Subject head/lead

• Checks the final moderated marks when issued to the centre when the results are published

• Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next exam series

#### **Exams officer**

- Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

# **Access arrangements**

#### Subject teacher

 Works with the SENCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

# Special educational needs coordinator (SENCo)

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication <u>Access Arrangements and Reasonable</u> <u>Adjustments</u> in relation to non-examination assessments including <u>Reasonable Adjustments for GCE</u> <u>A-level sciences</u> – <u>Endorsement of practical skills</u>
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

## Special consideration and loss of work

### Subject teacher

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate is absent and/or produces a reduced quantity of work
- Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments
- Liaises with the exams officer to report loss of work to the awarding body

#### **Exams officer**

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication <u>A guide to the special consideration process</u>
  - Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
  - Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
  - Keeps required evidence on file to support the application
- Refers to/directs relevant staff to <u>Form 15 JCQ/LCW</u> and where applicable submits to the relevant awarding body

# **Malpractice**

#### Head of centre

- Understands the responsibility to immediately report to the relevant awarding body any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates or centre staff
- Ensures any irregularity identified by the centre before the candidate has signed the
  authentication statement (where required) are dealt with under its own internal procedures, with
  no requirement to report the irregularity to the awarding body (The only exception being where
  the awarding body's confidential assessment materials has been breached, the breach must be
  report to the awarding body)
- Is familiar with the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments:</u>
  Policies and Procedures
- Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates
  producing non-examination assessment are aware of the potential for malpractice and ensures that
  teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractice or suspected
  malpractice constitutes malpractice in itself

#### Subject teacher

- Is aware of the JCQ Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work
- Ensures candidates understand what constitutes malpractice in non-examination assessments
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates non-examination</u> assessments
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document Information for candidates Social Media
- Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the head of centre

#### **Exams officer**

- Signposts the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies and</u>
  Procedures to the head of centre
- Signposts the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work</u> to subject heads
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates' documents
- Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of alleged, suspected or actual malpractice

# **Post-results services**

#### Head of centre

- Is familiar with the JCQ publication Post-Results Services
- Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedures clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support an application for a review of results or an appeal

#### Subject head/lead

Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about reviews of results

#### Subject teacher

Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available

- Provides the exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for a review of moderation to the internal deadline
- Supports the exams officer in collecting candidate consent where required

#### **Exams officer**

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication <u>Post-Results Services</u>
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline
- Collects candidate consent where required

# Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England

#### **Head of centre**

Returns an online 'Head of Centre declaration' at the time of the National Centre Number Register
annual update, confirming that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all
candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language
endorsement

#### Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments

#### Subject head/lead

- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

#### Subject teacher

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (*Pass, Merit, Distinction* or *Not Classified*) and the storage and submission of recordings

# **Exams officer**

Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and recordings

## **Private candidates**

# Subject head/lead

- According to centre policy, confirms if private candidates (including distance learners and home educated candidates) are accepted by the centre for entry for subjects containing components of non-examination assessment (where the specification may be made available to private candidates by the awarding body)
- Ensures relevant staff in the centre administer all aspects of the non-examination assessment process for a private candidate, according to the awarding body's specification

# Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Centre staff malpractice	Records confirm that relevant centre staff are familiar with and follow:  • the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments  • the JCQ document Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA material and candidates' work - http://www.jcq.orq.uk/exams-office/non-examination-assessments	
Candidate malpractice	Records confirm that candidates are informed and understand they must not:  • submit work which is not their own  • make available their work to other candidates through any medium  • allow other candidates to have access to their own independently sourced material  • assist other candidates to produce work  • use books, the internet, AI or other sources without acknowledgement or attribution  • submit work that has been word processed by a third party without acknowledgement  • include inappropriate, offensive or obscene material  Records confirm that candidates have been made aware of the JCQ documents Information for candidates - non-examination assessments and Information for candidates  - Social Media - <a href="https://www.jcq.orq.uk/exams-office/information-for-candidates-documents">https://www.jcq.orq.uk/exams-office/information-for-candidates-documents</a> and understand they must not post their work on social media	
Task setting		
Awarding body set task: IT failure/corruption of task details where set task details accessed	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted prior to start of course  IT systems checked prior to key date	Subject Teacher IT Manager
from the awarding body online	Alternative IT system used to gain access  Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task	Exams Officer
	details	

		Action by
Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Centre set task: Subject teacher fails to meet	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training information, practice materials etc.	Subject Lead
the assessment criteria as detailed in the	Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task setting arrangements as defined in the awarding	
specification	body's specification	
Candidates do not	Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task  A simplified version of the awarding body's marking	Subject Lead
understand the marking criteria and what they	criteria described in the specification that is not specific to the work of an individual candidate or group of candidates	
need to do to gain credit	is produced for candidates  Records confirm all candidates understand the marking  criteria	
	Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria	
Subject teacher long term absence during the task setting stage	See centre's Exam Contingency Plan (Teaching staff extended absence)	Subject Lead
Issuing of tasks		l
Task for legacy specification given to candidates undertaking	Ensures subject teachers take care to distinguish between requirements/tasks for legacy specifications and requirements/tasks for new specifications	Subject Lead
new specification	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	
Awarding body set task not issued to candidates on time	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the specification noted prior to start of course Course information issued to candidates contains details when set task will be issued and needs to be completed by Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing and teaching	Subject Lead
The wrong task is given to candidates	Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding body's specification confirms the correct task will be issued to candidates  Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	Subject Lead
Subject teacher long term absence during the issuing of tasks stage	See Centre's Exam Contingency plan (Teaching staff extended absence)	Subject Lead
A candidate (or parent/carer) expresses	Ensures the candidate's presentation does not form part of the sample which will be recorded	Subject Lead

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
concern about safeguarding, confidentiality or faith in undertaking a task such as a presentation that may be recorded	Contacts the awarding body at the earliest opportunity where unable to record the required number of candidates for the monitoring sample	Safeguarding Lead
Task taking		
Supervision		
Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar	Subject Lead SLT
Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply)	Subject Lead SLT
Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated	Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates  Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy	Subject Lead
A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed	Subject Teacher Subject Lead SLT
Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate	SENCo Exams Officer

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Advice and feedback		
Candidate claims appropriate advice and	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all information provided to candidates	Subject Lead
feedback not given by subject teacher prior to	before work begins as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	
starting on their work	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records	
	and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all information and advice given	
	to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component	
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given	
Candidate claims no	prior to starting on their work  Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject	Subject Lead
advice and feedback given by subject	teachers to record all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the	
given by subject teacher during the task-	centre's quality assurance procedures	
taking stage	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity	
	Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to	
	candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component	
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given	
A third and also that	during the task-taking stage	C late at the aid
A third party claims that assistance was given to	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where	Subject Lead
candidates by the subject teacher over	relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all	Exams Officer
and above that allowed	assistance given	Head of Centre
in the regulations and specification	Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body	
Candidate does not	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference	Subject Teacher
reference information from published source	information before work is submitted for formal assessment	
	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document	
	Information for candidates: non-examination assessments	
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research,	
	planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	
Candidate does not set out references as	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re- draft the set out of references before work is submitted for	Subject Teacher
out references as required	formal assessment	

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments  Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure	
	continued completion	
Candidate joins the course late after formally supervised task taking has started	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to catch up	Subject Teacher
Candidate moves to another centre during the course	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be done depending on the stage at which the move takes place	Exams Officer
An excluded pupil wants to complete his/her non-examination assessment(s)	The awarding body specification is checked to determine if the specification is available to a candidate outside mainstream education  If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and marking are made separately for the candidate	Exams Officer
Resources	marking are made separately for the candidate	
A candidate augments notes and resources between formally supervised sessions	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions  Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions  Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions	Subject Teacher  IT Manager
A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used, including books, websites and audio/visual resources  Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately  Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	Subject Teacher
Word and time limits		
A candidate is penalised by the awarding body	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory	Subject Lead

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
for exceeding word or time limits	Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood	
Collaboration and group	work	
Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification states this is not permitted	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	Subject Lead
Authentication procedur	es	
A teacher has doubts about the authenticity of the work submitted by a candidate for internal assessment  Candidate plagiarises other material	Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ document Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body	Subject Teacher Subject Lead Exams Officer Head of Centre
Candidate does not sign their authentication statement/declaration  Subject teacher not	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments  Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments  Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment  Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject	Subject Teacher  Subject Lead  Subject Lead
Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms  Presentation of work	teachers to sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	Subject Lead

		Ι
Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Candidate does not fully complete the awarding body's cover sheet that is attached to their worked submitted for formal assessment  Keeping materials secure	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	Subject Teacher
Candidates work between formal supervised sessions is not securely stored	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments  Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure storage	Subject Lead
Adequate secure storage not available to subject teacher	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to subject teacher prior to the start of the course Alternative secure storage sourced where required	Subject Lead
Candidates work produced electronically is not securely stored	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments Internal processes and regular monitoring/internal audit by IT Manager ensures:  access to this material is restricted (insert how)  appropriate security safeguards are in place (insert names/types of protection)  an effective back-up strategy is employed so that an up to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained (insert details of how work is backed up)  any sensitive digital media is encrypted (according to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable) to ensure the security of the data stored within it (insert relevant details of how)	Subject Teacher Subject Lead IT Manager
Task marking – externally assessed components		
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an acceptable reason	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate  If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate	Subject Teacher  Exams Officer
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register	Subject Teacher  Exams Officer

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Task marking – internally assessed components		
A candidate submits little or no work	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body	Subject Teacher  Subject Lead
A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for	Subject Teacher Subject Lead
	shortfall in work	Exams Officer
The work of a candidate is lost or damaged	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 8), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work	Subject Teacher Subject Lead Exams Officer
Candidate malpractice is discovered	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed	Subject Teacher Subject Lead Exams Officer Head of Centre
A teacher marks the work of his/her own child	A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body that a teacher is teaching his/her own child at the start of the course  Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not	Subject Teacher Subject Lead Exams Officer
An extension to the deadline for submission of marks is required for a legitimate reason	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension	Subject Teacher Subject Lead Exams Officer
After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates	Awarding body is contacted for guidance Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to	Subject Teacher Subject Lead

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
	determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates	Exams Officer
A candidate wishes to	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been	Subject Teacher
appeal/request a	awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted	
review of the marks	to the awarding body	Subject Lead
awarded for their work by their teacher	Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks	Exams Officer
by their teacher	Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to	Examp officer
	change through the awarding body's moderation process	
	Candidates are informed of their marks to the timescale	
	identified in the centre's internal appeals procedure and	
	prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for	
	the submission of marks	
	Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are	
	made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal/request for a	
	review of the centre's marking prior to the submission of	
	marks to the awarding body	
Deadline for submitting	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by	Subject Teacher
work for formal	candidates at the start of the course	
assessment not met by	Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and	Subject Lead
candidate	understood	Exams Officer
	Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance	Exams Officer
	sought to determine if the work can be accepted late for marking providing the awarding body's deadline for	
	submitting marks can be met	
	Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the	
	work will be accepted late for marking or a mark of zero	
	submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	
Deadline for submitting	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of	Subject Lead
marks and samples of	each academic year	Evame Officer
candidates work	Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject	Exams Officer
ignored by subject teacher	heads as deadlines approach Records confirm deadlines known and understood by	SLT
- Caoner	subject teachers	
	Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are	Head of Centre
	followed	
Subject teacher long	See centre's exam contingency plan (Teaching staff	Subject Lead
term absence during	extended absence)	
the marking period		